

RESEARCH PAPER

# Modification of photosynthesis and growth responses to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> by ozone in two cultivars of winter wheat with different years of release

D.K. Biswas<sup>1,2</sup>, H. Xu<sup>1</sup>, Y.G. Li<sup>1</sup>, B.L. Ma<sup>2</sup> and G.M. Jiang<sup>1,3\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> State Key Laboratory of Vegetation and Environmental Change, Institute of Botany, The Chinese Academy of Sciences, 20 Nanxincun, 100093, Beijing, PR China

<sup>2</sup> Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, 960 Carling Avenue, Ottawa, ON, K1A0C6, Canada

<sup>3</sup> State Key Laboratory of Crop Biology, Shandong Agricultural University, No. 61, Daizong Avenue, 271018, Tai'an, PR China

\* To whom correspondence should be addressed. Email: [jianggm@126.com](mailto:jianggm@126.com)

Received 3 September 2012; Revised 12 December 2012; Accepted 2 January 2013

## Abstract

The beneficial effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on plants are expected to be compromised by the negative effects posed by other global changes. However, little is known about ozone (O<sub>3</sub>)-induced modulation of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> response in plants with differential sensitivity to O<sub>3</sub>. An old (*Triticum aestivum* cv. Beijing 6, O<sub>3</sub> tolerant) and a modern (*T. aestivum* cv. Zhongmai 9, O<sub>3</sub> sensitive) winter wheat cultivar were exposed to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (714 ppm) and/or O<sub>3</sub> (72 ppb, for 7 h d<sup>-1</sup>) in open-topped chambers for 21 d. Plant responses to treatments were assessed by visible leaf symptoms, simultaneous measurements of gas exchange and chlorophyll *a* fluorescence, *in vivo* biochemical properties, and growth. It was found that elevated CO<sub>2</sub> resulted in higher growth stimulation in the modern cultivar attributed to a higher energy capture and electron transport rate compared with the old cultivar. Exposure to O<sub>3</sub> caused a greater growth reduction in the modern cultivar due to higher O<sub>3</sub> uptake and a greater loss of photosystem II efficiency (mature leaf) and mesophyll cell activity (young leaf) than in the old cultivar. Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> completely protected both cultivars against the deleterious effects of O<sub>3</sub> under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub>. The modern cultivar showed a greater relative loss of elevated CO<sub>2</sub>-induced growth stimulation due to higher O<sub>3</sub> uptake and greater O<sub>3</sub>-induced photoinhibition than the old cultivar at elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub>. Our findings suggest that the elevated CO<sub>2</sub>-induced growth stimulation in the modern cultivar attributed to higher energy capture and electron transport rate can be compromised by its higher O<sub>3</sub> uptake and greater O<sub>3</sub>-induced photoinhibition under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> exposure.

**Keywords:** elevated CO<sub>2</sub>, *in vivo* biochemical parameters, ozone, photosynthesis, relative growth rate, stomatal conductance, *Triticum aestivum* L., winter wheat.

## Introduction

The atmospheric concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> is predicted to increase accompanied by a concurrent rise in background ozone (O<sub>3</sub>) level in the 21st century (Prather *et al.*, 2001; IPCC, 2007).

The projected rise in atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> level is expected to increase the growth and yield of many agricultural crops (Long, 1991; Kimball *et al.*, 1995; Long *et al.*, 2006). The

Abbreviations: A, CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation rate; A<sub>sat</sub>, area-based light-saturated net photosynthetic rate; CFA, charcoal-filtered air; C<sub>i</sub>, intercellular CO<sub>2</sub> concentration; ETR, electron transport rate; F<sub>0</sub>, minimum fluorescence; F<sub>m</sub>, maximum fluorescence; F<sub>v</sub>, variable fluorescence; g<sub>s</sub>, stomatal conductance; J<sub>max</sub>, maximum electron transport rate for RuBP regeneration; K, allometric coefficient; NAR, net assimilation rate; NPQ, non-photochemical quenching; O<sub>3</sub>, ozone; OTC, open-topped chamber; PPFD, photosynthetic photon flux density; PSII, photosystem II; Q, photon flux; q<sub>p</sub>, photochemical quenching coefficient; RGR, relative growth rate; RGR<sub>r</sub>, relative growth rate of root; RGR<sub>s</sub>, relative growth rate of shoot; V<sub>max</sub>, maximum rate of carboxylation by Rubisco; WUE<sub>int</sub>, intrinsic water-use efficiency; Φ<sub>PSII</sub>, quantum yield of PSII.

© 2013 The Authors.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact [journals.permissions@oup.com](mailto:journals.permissions@oup.com).

positive effects of increased atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration on crop growth and yield may be compromised by the deleterious aspects of atmospheric O<sub>3</sub> on crop systems (Long, 1991; McKee *et al.*, 1995; McKee *et al.*, 2000; Long *et al.*, 2006; Ainsworth *et al.*, 2008a). However, little is known about the extent of O<sub>3</sub>-induced modification of the beneficial effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on crop plants that would have differential responses to atmospheric O<sub>3</sub>.

Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> can cause an increase in biomass and yield of 30–40% in many crops including wheat (10–20%) (Kimball, 1983; Poorter, 1993; McKee and Woodward, 1994; Tuba *et al.*, 1994). The extent of the beneficial effect of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> depends largely on the sink strength of a plant (Stitt, 1991; Bowes, 1993; Sicher *et al.*, 2010). Wheat breeding in China, as elsewhere, has progressed over time with reduced plant height (less biomass production) and an increase in grain yield through higher flag leaf photosynthesis (current photosynthesis) and a higher harvest index (Manderscheid and Weigel, 1997; Jiang *et al.*, 2003; Biswas *et al.*, 2008a). Consequently, the sink strength as well as the extent of the CO<sub>2</sub> response in wheat cultivars is decreasing following years of cultivar release (Manderscheid and Weigel, 1997). This may incur a penalty on the potential beneficial effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on agricultural production and food security using future high-yielding modern crop cultivars, as the plant CO<sub>2</sub> response will be modified further by other global changes including atmospheric O<sub>3</sub> (Ainsworth *et al.*, 2008b). It is therefore important to ensure that selection for improved responsiveness to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> is not at the expense of tolerance to other features of global climatic and atmospheric change, notably increased temperature, O<sub>3</sub>, and drought to maximize the benefit of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on the major food crops (Ainsworth *et al.*, 2008b).

The extent of downregulation of photosynthesis under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> depends on the duration of CO<sub>2</sub> exposure, the plant species, the plant developmental stage, the canopy leaf position, and leaf age (McKee *et al.*, 1995; Osborne *et al.*, 1998). The possible physiological mechanisms of downregulation of photosynthesis to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> include a decrease in the amounts and activity of Rubisco, and in the capacity for regeneration of the substrate ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate (RuBP) (Stitt, 1991; Bowes, 1993; Sage, 1994). In addition, the intrinsic limitation of photosynthesis under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> shifts from CO<sub>2</sub> fixation in carboxylation towards energy capture by the photochemical component of photosynthesis (Long and Drake, 1992). Therefore, it should be beneficial for plants to invest relatively more resources into energy capture and electron transport rate at the expense of reduced carboxylation capacity (Long and Drake, 1992; Medlyn, 1996). Whilst growth and yield responses of wheat to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and their underlying mechanisms have been well studied (McKee *et al.*, 1995; Manderscheid and Weigel, 1997), little is known about the mechanistic physiological responses of wheat cultivars with different years of release (i.e., differential sink sizes) to elevated CO<sub>2</sub>.

In contrast, both old and modern wheat cultivars have been well characterized for their differential responses to

O<sub>3</sub> (Barnes *et al.*, 1990; Biswas *et al.*, 2008a, b, 2009; Biswas and Jiang, 2011). O<sub>3</sub>-induced loss of photosynthesis and growth is higher in the recently released winter wheat cultivars due to higher stomatal conductance, a larger reduction in antioxidative activities, and lower levels of dark respiration leading to higher oxidative damage to proteins and integrity of the cellular membrane than in the older cultivars (Biswas *et al.*, 2008a). It has been reported that the decline in photosynthetic capacity induced by O<sub>3</sub> is caused primarily by a decrease in the maximum *in vivo* rate of Rubisco carboxylation due to a reduction in the activity and/or quantity of Rubisco (Pell *et al.*, 1992; Farage and Long, 1995, 1999; Long and Naidu, 2002; Biswas and Jiang, 2011). In contrast, the impacts of O<sub>3</sub> on light-harvesting processes and photosynthetic electron transport are believed to be of secondary importance (Nie *et al.*, 1993; Farage and Long, 1999).

In the combined presence of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> concentrations, the deleterious effect of O<sub>3</sub> is often offset by the beneficial effect of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on many crop plants including wheat, although results are variable depending on the crop cultivars, developmental stage, and other growth conditions (Polle and Pell, 1999; McKee *et al.*, 2000; Cardoso-Vilhena *et al.*, 2004). Previous studies have demonstrated that modern wheat cultivars are less responsive to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (Manderscheid and Weigel, 1997) but more sensitive to O<sub>3</sub> compared with old cultivars (Barnes *et al.*, 1990; Biswas *et al.*, 2008a, 2009) in terms of growth and yield. It was therefore hypothesized that the beneficial effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on an old wheat cultivar could be attributed to its higher O<sub>3</sub> tolerance under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> conditions. As protection against O<sub>3</sub> (i.e. the efficiency of metabolism of O<sub>3</sub>-induced reactive oxygen species) is an energy-dependent process (Tausz *et al.*, 2007), it was also hypothesized that O<sub>3</sub>-induced loss of the beneficial effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on plants might be higher in a modern wheat cultivar than in an old cultivar under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub>. An old and a modern cultivar of winter wheat were therefore utilized to test these hypotheses. Plant responses to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and/or O<sub>3</sub> were determined by simultaneous measurements of gas exchange and chlorophyll *a* fluorescence, *in vivo* biochemical parameters, and growth analysis. The results from this study may be valuable in understanding the extent of the beneficial effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on crop cultivars and food security under changing climate conditions such as elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub>.

## Materials and methods

### *Plant establishment and gas treatments*

An old (*Triticum aestivum* cv. Beijing 6; released in 1961) and a modern (*T. aestivum* L. cv. Zhongmai 9; released in 1997) winter wheat cultivar were selected to assess photosynthetic acclimation and growth under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and/or O<sub>3</sub>. The study was carried out at the experimental station at the Institute of Botany of the Chinese Academy of Sciences. In a temperature-controlled double-glazed greenhouse, three germinated seeds were each sown in 60 plastic pots (6 cm diameter, 9 cm high) per cultivar for each of the

two runs, which were carried out continuously by adjusting planting dates. The pots were filled with local field top soil (clay loam) ideal for wheat growth. Organic C, total N, total P, and total K in the soil were determined as 1.24, 0.045, 0.296, and 14.7 g kg<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The seedlings were thinned to one per pot d 7 after planting. On d 8 after planting, 15 pots per cultivar were moved to each of four open-topped chambers (OTCs) placed in the same greenhouse. The plants were allowed to grow up to d 17 after planting to adapt to the chamber environments before starting O<sub>3</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> treatments. During this adaptation period, all plants received charcoal-filtered air (<5 ppb O<sub>3</sub>) and ambient CO<sub>2</sub>. The chambers were illuminated by natural daylight supplemented with fluorescence light providing a photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD) of ~220 μmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> at canopy height during the 14 h photoperiod. An artificial light source was continuously used to extend the day length and to maximize light intensity in the OTCs. The average midday light level (PPFD) in the chambers was ~1230 μmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. The temperature in the OTCs fluctuated from 17 °C (night) to 27 °C (day), and relative humidity varied from 57 to 85% during the experiment runs. Plants were irrigated as required to avoid drought, and the hard soil crust formed after irrigation was broken to ensure better aeration in the soil.

Pure CO<sub>2</sub> was dispensed for 24 h a day through manual mass flow meters into blowers and then into the chambers to produce the elevated CO<sub>2</sub> treatment. The concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> in the OTCs was monitored during the day and night using an infrared gas analyser (GFS-3000; Walz, Germany). O<sub>3</sub> was generated by electrically discharging ambient oxygen (Balaguer *et al.*, 1995) with an O<sub>3</sub> generator (CF-KG1; Beijing Sumsun Hi-Tech. Co., China) and then was bubbled through distilled water before entering the higher O<sub>3</sub> chambers. Water traps were used to remove harmful compounds other than O<sub>3</sub> (Balaguer *et al.*, 1995). The flow of O<sub>3</sub>-enriched air into the OTCs was regulated by manual mass flow controllers. O<sub>3</sub> concentrations in the OTCs were continuously monitored at ~10 cm above the plant canopy using an O<sub>3</sub> analyser (APOA-360; Horiba, Japan), which was cross-calibrated once before starting O<sub>3</sub> treatment with another O<sub>3</sub> monitor (ML 9810B; Eco-Tech, Canada). The concentrations of CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> in the four OTCs was averaged over the entire experimental period: control [CO<sub>2</sub>, 385 ± 4 ppm + carbon-filtered air (CFA), 4 ± 0.02 ppb O<sub>3</sub>]; O<sub>3</sub> (ambient CO<sub>2</sub>, 385 ± 4 ppm + elevated O<sub>3</sub>, 72 ± 5 ppb O<sub>3</sub> for 7 h d<sup>-1</sup>, 9.00–16.00 h); elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (CO<sub>2</sub>, 714 ± 16 ppm + CFA, 4 ± 0.02 ppb O<sub>3</sub>); and elevated CO<sub>2</sub> + O<sub>3</sub> (elevated CO<sub>2</sub>, 714 ± 16 ppm + elevated O<sub>3</sub>, 72 ± 5 ppb for 7 h d<sup>-1</sup>). To minimize the effects from the chambers and environmental heterogeneities, plants and O<sub>3</sub> treatments were switched among the chambers every other day and the location of the plants within the chambers was randomized each time.

#### Visible symptoms of O<sub>3</sub> damage

Visible symptoms were assessed on all leaves of the main stem of each plant after termination of gas treatments. The percentage of mottled or necrotic areas on the leaves was assessed for five plants per cultivar sampled from each of the four gas treatments.

#### Photosystem II (PSII) functionality

On d 19 of fumigation treatment, five plants per cultivar were sampled from each of the four treatments and taken into an adjacent laboratory for dark adaptation (40 min) to ensure maximal oxidization of the primary quinone acceptor. Modulated chlorophyll fluorescence measurements were made in the middle of two fully expanded leaves (i.e. mature: leaf 3, and recently developed: leaf 4) using a PAM-2000 (Heinz Walz, Germany). The room temperature was maintained at 25 °C during measurements. The minimum fluorescence,  $F_0$ , was determined with modulated light, which was sufficiently low (<1 μmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) so as not to induce any significant variable fluorescence. The maximum fluorescence,  $F_m$ , was determined using a 0.8 s saturating pulse at 8000 μmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. Data obtained after recording

fluorescence key parameters included  $F_0$ ,  $F_m$ , variable fluorescence,  $F_v (=F_m - F_0)$ , and maximum photochemical efficiency in the dark-adapted state,  $F_v/F_m$  (Krause and Weis, 1991).

#### Simultaneous measurement of gas exchange and chlorophyll *a* fluorescence

Two fully expanded leaves (i.e. mature: leaf 3, and recently developed: leaf 4) of each of the sampled plants (four plants per cultivar per treatment) were used for simultaneous measurements of gas exchange and chlorophyll *a* fluorescence with a portable Gas Exchange Fluorescence System (GFS-3000; Heinz Walz). The system was connected to a PC with data acquisition software (GFS-Win; Heinz Walz) and calibrated to the zero point prior to measurements. The measurement was programmed for simultaneously measurement of gas exchange and chlorophyll *a* fluorescence (Biswas and Jiang, 2011). Relative humidity was maintained at 65% and leaf temperature was set at 25 °C in the leaf chamber. The flow rate was set at 400 μmol s<sup>-1</sup> and a CO<sub>2</sub> concentration of 400 ppm was maintained in the leaf chamber. The leaf was illuminated with a PPFD of 1500 μmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> of internal light source in the leaf chamber. Steady-state fluorescence and maximum and minimum fluorescence were recorded along with gas-exchange parameters. In addition, dark-adapted (at least 40 min) steady-state fluorescence and maximum and minimum fluorescence were also recorded in leaf 3 and leaf 4 of the sampled plants with the same environmental settings in the leaf chamber except for the light used for gas exchange and light-adapted fluorescence parameters using the Gas Exchange and Fluorescence System. Data obtained as part of the gas exchange measurements included the area-based light-saturated net photosynthetic rate ( $A_{sat}$ ), stomatal conductance ( $g_s$ ) and intercellular CO<sub>2</sub> concentration ( $C_i$ ). Plant intrinsic water-use efficiency ( $WUE_{int}$ ) at the instantaneous level was calculated as the ratio of  $A_{sat}/g_s$  (Guehl *et al.*, 1995). After recording fluorescence key parameters in both dark- and light-adapted states, chlorophyll *a* fluorescence parameters were calculated as follows:

$$\text{Quantum yield of PSII, } \Phi_{PSII} = (F_m' - F_s) / F_m' \quad (1)$$

$$\text{Photochemical quenching coefficient, } q_p = (F_m' - F_s) / (F_m' - F_0') \quad (2)$$

$$\text{Non-photochemical quenching, } NPQ = (F_m - F_m') / F_m' \quad (\text{Bilger and Björkman, 1990}) \quad (3)$$

$$\text{Electron transport rate, } ETR = \text{yield} \times \text{PAR} \times 0.5 \times 0.85 \quad (\text{Meyer et al., 1997}) \quad (4)$$

where  $F_m'$ ,  $F_0'$  and  $F_s$  are the maximum, minimum, and steady-state fluorescence, respectively in the leaf adapted to 1500 μmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> PPFD and  $F_m$  is the maximum fluorescence in the dark-adapted leaf.

#### Determination of A/C<sub>i</sub> and A/Q response curves

$A/C_i$  (where  $A$  is CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation rate) and  $A/Q$  (where  $Q$  is photon flux) response curves were recorded only in the recently developed leaf (leaf 4) of each plant using an automatic curve program with a portable Gas Exchange Fluorescence System (GFS-3000; Heinz Walz). Three plants per cultivar were selected randomly from each treatment for *in vivo* biochemical parameters. The system connected to a PC was calibrated to zero point prior to measurements. The leaf chamber environment conditions (temperature, flow rate, and relative humidity) were kept the same as described above. Firstly,  $A/C_i$  curve was recorded and then the  $A/Q$  response curve was started automatically. For  $A/C_i$  curves, the steady-state rate of net photosynthesis under a saturating irradiance of 1500 μmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> ( $A_{sat}$ ) was determined at external CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations of 400, 300, 200, 100, 50, 400, 400, 600 and 800 ppm. For the  $A/Q$  response curves,



the CO<sub>2</sub> concentration of 700 ppm in the leaf chamber was maintained to visualize photosynthetic acclimation (if any) to elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. Gas exchange parameters in response to PPFDs of 1800, 1500, 1000, 500, 300, 150, 80, 50, 20, 0 (μmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) at the leaf surface level were recorded. Each step of the *A/C<sub>i</sub>* and *A/Q* curves lasted for 4 and 3 min, respectively, with data being recorded twice at the end of each step. The data obtained for the *A/C<sub>i</sub>* curve of each plant were analysed using a curve-fitting program (Photosynthesis Assistant, version 1.1; Dundee Scientific, UK) to obtain the maximum rate of carboxylation by Rubisco (*V<sub>max</sub>*) and maximum electron transport rate for RuBP regeneration (*J<sub>max</sub>*). The program followed the model proposed by Farquhar *et al.* (1980). Data obtained as a part of the *A/Q* response curve included CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation rate (*A*), *g<sub>s</sub>* and WUE<sub>int</sub>.

Determination of growth and resource allocation

Plants were sampled for growth analysis before O<sub>3</sub> and elevated CO<sub>2</sub> treatments (on d 17 after planting) and after 21 d of O<sub>3</sub> and elevated CO<sub>2</sub> exposure (on d 38 after planting). Five plants per cultivar were harvested from each of the four treatment chambers and partitioned into shoot and root before being dried to a constant weight at 72 °C. The difference in dry weight between the pre-fumigation and final harvest was used to calculate the relative growth rate of whole plants and plant parts over 21 d. The mean plant relative growth rate (RGR), relative growth rate of shoot (RGR<sub>s</sub>), relative growth rate of root (RGR<sub>r</sub>), allometric coefficient (*K*), specific leaf area and net assimilation rate (NAR) were calculated as described by Hunt (1990).

Statistical analysis

The experiment consisted of two blocks (i.e. two runs) in which the four gas treatments were assigned to the chambers in a randomized complete block design. The results from two runs were checked for homogeneity of variance prior to analysis and were then combined for statistical analysis. Analyses of variance was performed for the eight treatment combinations (i.e. two cultivars, two levels of CO<sub>2</sub> and two levels of O<sub>3</sub>) for leaf 3 and leaf 4 on the measurable variables. The data were also analysed for the overall effect of CO<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>3</sub>, and cultivar, and for all interactions. Statistical analysis of the data was performed using a general linear model within the SPSS package (PASW Statistics 18.0, Chicago, USA). A Tukey comparison of means was performed when the *F*-test showed significance (*P* ≤ 0.05).

Results

Visible O<sub>3</sub> injury

Fully developed leaves of the main stem of each sampled plant were named from the oldest (leaf 1) to the youngest (leaf 5) to assess visible O<sub>3</sub> injury of wheat plants. Scoring of visible symptoms demonstrated that there was no difference in the extent of premature leaf senescence (leaf 1) between the cultivars. There was significant cultivar variation in development of visible injury appearing in leaf 2 and leaf 3 (Table 1). No visible symptoms of O<sub>3</sub> injury were found in leaf 4 and leaf 5. Elevated O<sub>3</sub> led to higher visible O<sub>3</sub> injury both in leaf 2 and 3 in the modern cultivar than in the old one. Leaf 2 demonstrated a greater amount of visible O<sub>3</sub> injury than leaf 3, irrespective of cultivars. There was no visible symptom of O<sub>3</sub> injury in any leaf of the plants exposed to ambient CO<sub>2</sub>, elevated CO<sub>2</sub>, and elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub>.

Dark-adapted chlorophyll a fluorescence

Overall, elevated CO<sub>2</sub> significantly (*P* < 0.01) increased *F<sub>v</sub>/F<sub>m</sub>* both in mature (leaf 3) and young (leaf 4) leaves of wheat cultivars (data not shown). Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> significantly (*P* < 0.05) increased *F<sub>m</sub>* and *F<sub>v</sub>* in the young leaf. Elevated O<sub>3</sub> significantly decreased *F<sub>v</sub>/F<sub>m</sub>* in mature (*P* < 0.001) and young (*P* < 0.1) leaves. Exposure to O<sub>3</sub> decreased *F<sub>m</sub>* and *F<sub>v</sub>* in the mature leaf, but increased *F<sub>o</sub>*, *F<sub>m</sub>*, and *F<sub>v</sub>* in the young leaf. The variety×CO<sub>2</sub> interaction was non-significant for all dark-adapted fluorescence parameters. The old cultivar exhibited a higher *F<sub>v</sub>/F<sub>m</sub>* value in the mature leaf than the modern cultivar at elevated O<sub>3</sub> (variety×O<sub>3</sub>, *P* < 0.05). Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> considerably ameliorated O<sub>3</sub>-induced alterations in basic fluorescence parameters in the mature leaf of both cultivars under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> (CO<sub>2</sub>×O<sub>3</sub>, *P* < 0.05). The modern cultivar displayed higher *F<sub>o</sub>*, *F<sub>m</sub>*, and *F<sub>v</sub>* values, along with a lower value of *F<sub>v</sub>/F<sub>m</sub>* in the mature leaf, than the old cultivar under combined gas treatment (variety×CO<sub>2</sub>×O<sub>3</sub>, *P* < 0.05; Table 2).

Simultaneous measurements of gas exchange and chlorophyll a fluorescence at ambient CO<sub>2</sub> concentration (400 ppm)

Overall, elevated CO<sub>2</sub> significantly (*P* < 0.05) increased *A<sub>sat</sub>* and *g<sub>s</sub>* but decreased *C<sub>i</sub>* in both mature and young leaves of wheat cultivars at the CO<sub>2</sub> concentration of 400 ppm in the leaf chamber (data not shown). Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> also decreased WUE<sub>int</sub> in the young leaf but not in the mature leaf. Exposure

**Table 1.** Development of visible symptoms of O<sub>3</sub> damage in different leaves of an old (released in 1961) and a modern (released in 1997) winter wheat cultivar exposed to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and/or O<sub>3</sub>. Fully developed leaves of the main stem of each sampled plant were named from the oldest (leaf 1) to the youngest (leaf 5). Control (CO<sub>2</sub>, 385 ± 4 ppm+CFA, 4 ± 0.02 ppb O<sub>3</sub>); elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (CO<sub>2</sub>, 714 ± 16 ppm+CFA, 4 ± 0.02 ppb O<sub>3</sub>); O<sub>3</sub> (ambient CO<sub>2</sub>, 385 ± 4 ppm+elevated O<sub>3</sub>, 72 ± 5 ppb O<sub>3</sub> for 7 h d<sup>-1</sup>, 9.00–16.00h); and elevated CO<sub>2</sub>+O<sub>3</sub> (elevated CO<sub>2</sub>, 714 ± 16 ppm+elevated O<sub>3</sub>, 72 ± 5 ppb 7 h d<sup>-1</sup>). Overall, the modern cultivar showed significantly (*P* < 0.01) higher level of visible symptoms of O<sub>3</sub> injury than the old cultivar. Results are shown as means ± 1 standard error (*n* = 10).

Treatment	Visible symptoms of O <sub>3</sub> damage (%)				
	Leaf 1	Leaf 2	Leaf 3	Leaf 4	Leaf 5
(a) Beijing 6 (1961)					
Control	0	0	0	0	0
CO <sub>2</sub>	0	0	0	0	
O <sub>3</sub>	100 ± 2	62 ± 6	34 ± 4	0	0
CO <sub>2</sub> +O <sub>3</sub>	38 ± 4	0	0	0	0
(b) Zhongmai 9 (1997)					
Control	0	0	0	0	0
CO <sub>2</sub>	0	0	0	0	0
O <sub>3</sub>	100 ± 2	84 ± 9	59 ± 5	0	0
CO <sub>2</sub> +O <sub>3</sub>	42 ± 5	0	0	0	0

**Table 2.** Minimum fluorescence ( $F_0$ ), maximum fluorescence ( $F_m$ ), variable fluorescence ( $F_v$ ), and maximum photochemical efficiency of PSII ( $F_v/F_m$ ) in leaf 3 and leaf 4 of an old (released in 1961) and a modern (released in 1997) winter wheat cultivar exposed to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and/or O<sub>3</sub> for 21 d in OTCs. Control (CO<sub>2</sub>, 385±4 ppm+CFA, 4±0.02 ppb O<sub>3</sub>); elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (CO<sub>2</sub>, 714±16 ppm+CFA, 4±0.02 ppb O<sub>3</sub>); O<sub>3</sub> (ambient CO<sub>2</sub>, 385±4 ppm+elevated O<sub>3</sub>, 72±5 ppb O<sub>3</sub> for 7 h d<sup>-1</sup>, 9.00–16.00h) and elevated CO<sub>2</sub>+O<sub>3</sub> (elevated CO<sub>2</sub>, 714±16 ppm+elevated O<sub>3</sub>, 72±5 ppb for 7 h d<sup>-1</sup>). Overall, elevated CO<sub>2</sub> significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) increased  $F_m$  and  $F_v$  in the young leaf. Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> considerably ( $P < 0.01$ ) increased  $F_v/F_m$  in both matured and young leaves. Exposure to O<sub>3</sub> decreased  $F_m$  and  $F_v$  in the matured leaf, but increased  $F_0$ ,  $F_m$ , and  $F_v$  in the young leaf. High O<sub>3</sub> decreased  $F_v/F_m$  in the mature ( $P < 0.001$ ) and young ( $P < 0.1$ ) leaves of wheat cultivars. Results are shown as means±1 standard error ( $n=10$ ). Means with the same letter were not significantly different.

Treatment	$F_0$		$F_m$		$F_v$		$F_v/F_m$	
	Leaf 3	Leaf 4	Leaf 3	Leaf 4	Leaf 3	Leaf 4	Leaf 3	Leaf 4
(a) Beijing 6 (1961)								
Control	248±12 <sup>c</sup>	237±19 <sup>bc</sup>	1356±48 <sup>c</sup>	1299±88 <sup>c</sup>	1108±38 <sup>c</sup>	1062±71 <sup>c</sup>	0.82±0.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.82±0.01 <sup>ab</sup>
CO <sub>2</sub>	246±13 <sup>c</sup>	216±21 <sup>c</sup>	1345±53 <sup>c</sup>	1236±87 <sup>c</sup>	1099±42 <sup>c</sup>	1020±73 <sup>c</sup>	0.82±0.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.82±0.01 <sup>ab</sup>
O <sub>3</sub>	252±15 <sup>c</sup>	261±22 <sup>bc</sup>	1209±55 <sup>c</sup>	1303±97 <sup>c</sup>	957±46 <sup>d</sup>	1041±77 <sup>c</sup>	0.79±0.00 <sup>c</sup>	0.80±0.01 <sup>c</sup>
CO <sub>2</sub> +O <sub>3</sub>	242±14 <sup>c</sup>	277±21 <sup>bc</sup>	1246±50 <sup>c</sup>	1605±81 <sup>b</sup>	1004±44 <sup>cd</sup>	1328±74 <sup>b</sup>	0.81±0.00 <sup>ab</sup>	0.83±0.01 <sup>a</sup>
(b) Zhongmai 9 (1997)								
Control	308±15 <sup>ab</sup>	291±20 <sup>ab</sup>	1713±51 <sup>a</sup>	1635±82 <sup>b</sup>	1405±41 <sup>a</sup>	1344±75 <sup>b</sup>	0.82±0.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.82±0.01 <sup>ab</sup>
CO <sub>2</sub>	273±13 <sup>bc</sup>	263±21 <sup>bc</sup>	1509±53 <sup>b</sup>	1459±87 <sup>bc</sup>	1236±42 <sup>b</sup>	1196±77 <sup>bc</sup>	0.82±0.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.82±0.01 <sup>ab</sup>
O <sub>3</sub>	275±17 <sup>bc</sup>	271±24 <sup>bc</sup>	1216±57 <sup>c</sup>	1384±91 <sup>bc</sup>	941±47 <sup>d</sup>	1113±78 <sup>bc</sup>	0.77±0.00 <sup>d</sup>	0.80±0.01 <sup>c</sup>
CO <sub>2</sub> +O <sub>3</sub>	323±12 <sup>a</sup>	347±22 <sup>a</sup>	1586±53 <sup>ab</sup>	1956±84 <sup>a</sup>	1262±42 <sup>b</sup>	1608±76 <sup>a</sup>	0.80±0.00 <sup>bc</sup>	0.82±0.01 <sup>ab</sup>

to O<sub>3</sub> significantly decreased  $A_{\text{sat}}$  ( $P < 0.001$ ) but increased  $C_i$  ( $P < 0.1$ ) in the mature leaf. Elevated O<sub>3</sub> did not alter  $A_{\text{sat}}$  and  $C_i$  but lowered  $g_s$  and increased  $WUE_{\text{int}}$  in the young leaf. Overall, the old cultivar displayed lower values of  $A_{\text{sat}}$  and  $g_s$  in the mature leaf but showed a lower  $g_s$  and higher  $WUE_{\text{int}}$  in the young leaf than the modern variety. The variety×CO<sub>2</sub> interaction was non-significant for all gas exchange parameters in both leaves. The modern cultivar displayed higher  $C_i$  and a lower  $WUE_{\text{int}}$  in the young leaf than the old cultivar at elevated O<sub>3</sub> (variety×O<sub>3</sub>,  $P < 0.01$ ). Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> ameliorated the O<sub>3</sub>-induced reduction in mesophyll cell activity ( $C_i$ ) and photosynthetic capacity ( $A_{\text{sat}}$ ) in both leaves of wheat cultivars under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> (CO<sub>2</sub>×O<sub>3</sub>,  $P < 0.01$ ; Table 3). The old cultivar showed a higher  $WUE_{\text{int}}$  in the mature leaf than the modern variety under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> (variety×CO<sub>2</sub>×O<sub>3</sub>,  $P < 0.001$ ).

Overall, elevated CO<sub>2</sub> significantly ( $P < 0.01$ ) increased  $\Phi_{\text{PSII}}$ ,  $q_p$ , and ETR in the young leaf of wheat. Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> also considerably increased  $q_p$  but decreased NPQ in the mature leaf. Exposure to O<sub>3</sub> significantly ( $P < 0.01$ ) increased NPQ in the mature leaf of wheat cultivars. Elevated O<sub>3</sub> did not alter any light-adapted fluorescence parameter in the young leaf. The modern cultivar showed higher  $\Phi_{\text{PSII}}$ ,  $q_p$  and ETR in the mature leaf than the old cultivar under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (variety×CO<sub>2</sub>,  $P < 0.05$ ). The modern cultivar also showed considerably greater  $q_p$  in the young leaf than the old cultivar at elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (variety×CO<sub>2</sub>,  $P < 0.1$ ). The variety×O<sub>3</sub> interaction was non-significant for all light-adapted fluorescence parameters. The modern cultivar showed higher decreases in  $\Phi_{\text{PSII}}$  and  $q_p$  in the mature leaf than the old cultivar with combined gas treatment compared with elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (variety×CO<sub>2</sub>×O<sub>3</sub>,  $P < 0.05$ ; Table 4). The modern cultivar also displayed higher NPQ in the young leaf than the old cultivar under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> (variety×CO<sub>2</sub>×O<sub>3</sub>,  $P < 0.1$ ).

### *In vivo biochemical parameters*

Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) increased  $V_{\text{cmax}}$ ,  $J_{\text{max}}$ , and  $J_{\text{max}}/V_{\text{cmax}}$  in the young leaf of wheat cultivars (Fig. 1). Exposure to O<sub>3</sub> did not alter the *in vivo* biochemical parameters in the young leaf. Overall, the modern cultivar showed considerably ( $P < 0.05$ ) lower values of  $J_{\text{max}}$  and  $J_{\text{max}}/V_{\text{cmax}}$  than the old cultivar. The old cultivar displayed a higher  $J_{\text{max}}/V_{\text{cmax}}$  value than the modern one at elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (variety×CO<sub>2</sub>,  $P < 0.05$ ). The variety×CO<sub>2</sub>×O<sub>3</sub> interaction was non-significant for all *in vivo* biochemical parameters.

### *Gas exchange parameters at elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (700 ppm) under varying PPFDs*

Both cultivars, regardless of treatment, had increased  $A$ ,  $g_s$  and  $WUE_{\text{int}}$  with increasing PPFDs at the CO<sub>2</sub> concentration of 700 ppm in the leaf chamber (Fig. 2). None of the wheat cultivars showed photosynthetic acclimation to elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> resulted in higher  $A$  in the modern cultivar than in old one at high PPFDs. Exposure to O<sub>3</sub> showed a higher relative increase in  $A$  in the old cultivar than in the modern one under higher PPFDs. The combined gas treatment decreased  $A$  in the modern cultivar but increased  $A$  in the old one compared with elevated CO<sub>2</sub> at higher PPFDs. Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> exhibited a higher relative increase in  $g_s$  in the old cultivar compared with the modern one over different PPFDs. Exposure to O<sub>3</sub> decreased  $g_s$  in the old cultivar but increased  $g_s$  in the modern one under varying PPFDs. The combined gas treatment resulted in a decline in  $g_s$  in both cultivars compared with elevated CO<sub>2</sub> over different PPFDs. Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> increased  $WUE_{\text{int}}$  in both cultivars at higher PPFDs. Elevated O<sub>3</sub> increased  $WUE_{\text{int}}$  in the old cultivar but decreased  $WUE_{\text{int}}$  in the modern one at higher PPFDs. The combined gas treatment resulted in a greater increase in

**Table 3.** Light saturated rate of net assimilation ( $A_{\text{sat}}$ ), stomatal conductance ( $g_s$ ), intercellular  $\text{CO}_2$  concentration ( $C_i$ ) and intrinsic water-use efficiency ( $\text{WUE}_{\text{int}}$ ) at instantaneous level in leaf 3 and leaf 4 of an old (released in 1961) and a modern (released in 1997) winter wheat cultivar exposed to elevated  $\text{CO}_2$  and/or  $\text{O}_3$  for 21 d in OTCs. The leaf chamber  $\text{CO}_2$  concentration was maintained at 400 ppm during gas exchange measurements: control ( $\text{CO}_2$ ,  $385 \pm 4$  ppm+CFA,  $4 \pm 0.02$  ppb  $\text{O}_3$ ); elevated  $\text{CO}_2$  ( $\text{CO}_2$ ,  $714 \pm 16$  ppm+CFA,  $4 \pm 0.02$  ppb  $\text{O}_3$ );  $\text{O}_3$  (ambient  $\text{CO}_2$ ,  $385 \pm 4$  ppm+elevated  $\text{O}_3$ ,  $72 \pm 5$  ppb  $\text{O}_3$  for 7 h  $\text{d}^{-1}$ , 9.00–16.00 h); and elevated  $\text{CO}_2 + \text{O}_3$  (elevated  $\text{CO}_2$ ,  $714 \pm 16$  ppm+elevated  $\text{O}_3$ ,  $72 \pm 5$  ppb for 7 h  $\text{d}^{-1}$ ). Overall, elevated  $\text{CO}_2$  significantly increased  $A_{\text{sat}}$  ( $P < 0.01$ ) and  $g_s$  ( $P < 0.1$ ) in both matured and young leaves, but decreased  $\text{WUE}_{\text{int}}$  in the young leaf. Exposure to  $\text{O}_3$  significantly decreased  $A_{\text{sat}}$  ( $P < 0.001$ ), but increased  $C_i$  ( $P < 0.1$ ) in the matured leaf. Elevated  $\text{O}_3$  did not alter  $A_{\text{sat}}$  but decreased  $g_s$  ( $P < 0.05$ ) and increased  $\text{WUE}_{\text{int}}$  ( $P < 0.001$ ) in the young leaf. Results are shown as means  $\pm$  1 standard error ( $n=8$ ). Means with the same letter were not significantly different.

Treatment	$A_{\text{sat}}$ ( $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ )		$g_s$ ( $\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ )		$C_i$ (ppm)		$\text{WUE}_{\text{int}}$ ( $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ )	
	Leaf 3	Leaf 4	Leaf 3	Leaf 4	Leaf 3	Leaf 4	Leaf 3	Leaf 4
(a) Beijing 6 (1961)								
Control	$8.68 \pm 0.63^a$	$14.73 \pm 0.85^{ab}$	$0.20 \pm 0.02^{ab}$	$0.28 \pm 0.01^{bc}$	$333 \pm 8^{bc}$	$393 \pm 6^a$	$43.30 \pm 4.41^{ab}$	$52.89 \pm 3.43^{bc}$
$\text{CO}_2$	$8.31 \pm 0.60^a$	$14.38 \pm 0.76^{ab}$	$0.19 \pm 0.02^{ab}$	$0.28 \pm 0.01^{bc}$	$331 \pm 8^{bc}$	$348 \pm 5^{cd}$	$44.05 \pm 4.31^{ab}$	$52.89 \pm 3.07^{bc}$
$\text{O}_3$	$3.75 \pm 0.48^b$	$13.50 \pm 0.98^b$	$0.13 \pm 0.02^b$	$0.16 \pm 0.01^d$	$360 \pm 5^a$	$359 \pm 7^{bcd}$	$31.11 \pm 3.54^b$	$82.71 \pm 3.97^a$
$\text{CO}_2 + \text{O}_3$	$8.55 \pm 0.52^a$	$16.50 \pm 0.76^a$	$0.17 \pm 0.02^{ab}$	$0.29 \pm 0.01^{bc}$	$321 \pm 5^c$	$360 \pm 5^{bc}$	$51.24 \pm 4.84^a$	$56.66 \pm 3.07^b$
(b) Zhongmai 9 (1997)								
Control	$8.93 \pm 0.75^a$	$15.55 \pm 0.76^{ab}$	$0.21 \pm 0.02^{ab}$	$0.32 \pm 0.01^{ab}$	$332 \pm 9^{bc}$	$388 \pm 5^a$	$43.30 \pm 4.35^{ab}$	$49.23 \pm 3.31^{bc}$
$\text{CO}_2$	$10.08 \pm 0.73^a$	$16.70 \pm 0.74^a$	$0.25 \pm 0.02^a$	$0.32 \pm 0.01^{ab}$	$334 \pm 8^{bc}$	$342 \pm 5^d$	$40.68 \pm 4.40^{ab}$	$51.90 \pm 3.34^{bc}$
$\text{O}_3$	$4.87 \pm 0.53^b$	$13.70 \pm 0.71^b$	$0.16 \pm 0.02^{ab}$	$0.24 \pm 0.01^c$	$352 \pm 8^{ab}$	$391 \pm 5^a$	$36.20 \pm 4.40^{ab}$	$59.47 \pm 3.36^b$
$\text{CO}_2 + \text{O}_3$	$10.08 \pm 0.60^a$	$15.87 \pm 0.77^{ab}$	$0.25 \pm 0.02^a$	$0.37 \pm 0.01^a$	$334 \pm 9^{bc}$	$368 \pm 5^b$	$40.72 \pm 4.39^{ab}$	$42.64 \pm 3.30^c$

**Table 4.** Yield ( $F_v'/F_m'$ ), quantum yield ( $\Phi_{\text{PSII}}$ ), photochemical quenching coefficient ( $q_p$ ), non-photochemical quenching (NPQ), and electron transport rate (ETR) in leaf 3 and leaf 4 of an old (released in 1961) and a modern (released in 1997) winter wheat cultivar exposed to elevated  $\text{CO}_2$  and/or  $\text{O}_3$  for 21 days in OTCs. Chlorophyll *a* fluorescence parameters were recorded simultaneously with gas exchange measurement. Leaf chamber environment conditions (i.e. PPFD, temperature, relative humidity, flow rate, and  $\text{CO}_2$  concentration) were the same as those used for gas exchange measurement: control ( $\text{CO}_2$ ,  $385 \pm 4$  ppm+CFA,  $4 \pm 0.02$  ppb  $\text{O}_3$ ); elevated  $\text{CO}_2$  ( $\text{CO}_2$ ,  $714 \pm 16$  ppm+CFA,  $4 \pm 0.02$  ppb  $\text{O}_3$ );  $\text{O}_3$  (ambient  $\text{CO}_2$ ,  $385 \pm 4$  ppm+elevated  $\text{O}_3$ ,  $72 \pm 5$  ppb  $\text{O}_3$  for 7 h  $\text{d}^{-1}$ , 9.00–16.00 h); and elevated  $\text{CO}_2 + \text{O}_3$  (elevated  $\text{CO}_2$ ,  $714 \pm 16$  ppm+elevated  $\text{O}_3$ ,  $72 \pm 5$  ppb for 7 h  $\text{d}^{-1}$ ). Overall, elevated  $\text{CO}_2$  significantly increased ( $P < 0.01$ )  $\Phi_{\text{PSII}}$ ,  $q_p$ , and ETR in the young leaf but decreased NPQ in the matured leaf. Elevated  $\text{O}_3$  did not alter any light-adapted fluorescence parameter in the young leaf but considerably increased NPQ ( $P < 0.01$ ) in the mature leaf. Results are shown as means  $\pm$  1 standard error ( $n=8$ ). Means with the same letter were not significantly different.


Treatment	$F_v'/F_m'$		$\Phi_{\text{PSII}}$		$q_p$		NPQ		ETR	
	Leaf 3	Leaf 4	Leaf 3	Leaf 4	Leaf 3	Leaf 4	Leaf 3	Leaf 4	Leaf 3	Leaf 4
(a) Beijing 6 (1961)										
Control	$0.60 \pm 0.04$	$0.50 \pm 0.01^a$	$0.098 \pm 0.01^a$	$0.091 \pm 0.00^{ab}$	$0.13 \pm 0.01^b$	$0.18 \pm 0.01^{bcd}$	$2.48 \pm 0.02^{bc}$	$1.78 \pm 0.01^b$	$46 \pm 4^{ab}$	$57 \pm 3^{ab}$
$\text{CO}_2$	$0.62 \pm 0.04$	$0.48 \pm 0.01^{ab}$	$0.079 \pm 0.01^{ab}$	$0.095 \pm 0.00^{ab}$	$0.13 \pm 0.01^b$	$0.20 \pm 0.01^{abc}$	$2.12 \pm 0.02^{bc}$	$2.01 \pm 0.01^{ab}$	$43 \pm 4^{ab}$	$60 \pm 3^{ab}$
$\text{O}_3$	$0.54 \pm 0.03$	$0.50 \pm 0.01^a$	$0.072 \pm 0.01^{ab}$	$0.084 \pm 0.01^b$	$0.13 \pm 0.01^b$	$0.17 \pm 0.01^d$	$4.87 \pm 0.02^a$	$1.93 \pm 0.01^{ab}$	$46 \pm 3^{ab}$	$53 \pm 3^b$
$\text{CO}_2 + \text{O}_3$	$0.57 \pm 0.03$	$0.51 \pm 0.02^a$	$0.070 \pm 0.01^{ab}$	$0.092 \pm 0.00^{ab}$	$0.12 \pm 0.01^b$	$0.18 \pm 0.01^{bcd}$	$2.43 \pm 0.02^{bc}$	$1.84 \pm 0.01^{ab}$	$42 \pm 3^b$	$58 \pm 3^{ab}$
(b) Zhongmai 9 (1997)										
Control	$0.51 \pm 0.04$	$0.51 \pm 0.01^a$	$0.052 \pm 0.01^b$	$0.086 \pm 0.00^{ab}$	$0.10 \pm 0.01^b$	$0.17 \pm 0.01^{cd}$	$2.41 \pm 0.02^{bc}$	$1.67 \pm 0.01^b$	$37 \pm 5^b$	$54 \pm 3^{ab}$
$\text{CO}_2$	$0.59 \pm 0.04$	$0.46 \pm 0.01^b$	$0.103 \pm 0.01^a$	$0.094 \pm 0.00^{ab}$	$0.18 \pm 0.01^a$	$0.21 \pm 0.01^{ab}$	$1.93 \pm 0.02^c$	$1.55 \pm 0.01^b$	$57 \pm 4^a$	$59 \pm 3^{ab}$
$\text{O}_3$	$0.56 \pm 0.03$	$0.51 \pm 0.01^a$	$0.077 \pm 0.01^{ab}$	$0.082 \pm 0.00^b$	$0.14 \pm 0.01^b$	$0.16 \pm 0.01^d$	$4.54 \pm 0.02^{ab}$	$1.56 \pm 0.01^b$	$45 \pm 4^{ab}$	$51 \pm 3^b$
$\text{CO}_2 + \text{O}_3$	$0.49 \pm 0.02$	$0.46 \pm 0.01^b$	$0.066 \pm 0.01^{ab}$	$0.098 \pm 0.00^a$	$0.13 \pm 0.01^b$	$0.21 \pm 0.01^a$	$3.16 \pm 0.02^{abc}$	$2.73 \pm 0.01^a$	$48 \pm 5^{ab}$	$62 \pm 3^a$

$\text{WUE}_{\text{int}}$  in the old cultivar than in the modern one relative to elevated  $\text{CO}_2$  at higher PPFDs.

#### Plant growth and resource allocation

Overall, elevated  $\text{CO}_2$  significantly ( $P < 0.001$ ) increased RGR,  $\text{RGR}_s$ , and  $\text{RGR}_r$ , but did not alter  $K$  in wheat cultivars (data not shown). Elevated  $\text{O}_3$  significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) decreased RGR,  $\text{RGR}_s$ ,  $\text{RGR}_r$ , and  $K$  in wheat cultivars.

Overall, the modern cultivar showed considerably higher ( $P < 0.001$ ) RGR,  $\text{RGR}_s$ , and  $\text{RGR}_r$  values than the old one. The modern cultivar displayed higher RGR,  $\text{RGR}_s$ , and  $\text{RGR}_r$  values than old one at elevated  $\text{CO}_2$  (variety  $\times \text{CO}_2$ ,  $P < 0.05$ ). The old cultivar showed considerably higher RGR,  $\text{RGR}_s$ , and  $\text{RGR}_r$  values than the modern one at high  $\text{O}_3$  (variety  $\times \text{O}_3$ ,  $P < 0.05$ ). Elevated  $\text{CO}_2$  significantly ameliorated the negative effects of  $\text{O}_3$  on RGR,  $\text{RGR}_s$ , and  $\text{RGR}_r$  under elevated  $\text{CO}_2$  and  $\text{O}_3$  ( $\text{CO}_2 \times \text{O}_3$ ,  $P < 0.05$ ). The



**Fig. 1.** Maximum *in vivo* rate of Rubisco carboxylation ( $V_{cmax}$ ) and maximum electron transport rate for RUBP regeneration ( $J_{max}$ ) and  $J_{max}/V_{cmax}$  in the young leaf (leaf 4) of an old (released in 1961) and a modern (released in 1997) wheat cultivar exposed to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and/or O<sub>3</sub> for 21 d in OTCs. Control (CO<sub>2</sub>, 385 ± 4 ppm+CFA, 4 ± 0.02 ppb O<sub>3</sub>); elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (CO<sub>2</sub>, 714 ± 16 ppm+CFA, 4 ± 0.02 ppb O<sub>3</sub>); O<sub>3</sub> (ambient CO<sub>2</sub>, 385 ± 4 ppm+elevated O<sub>3</sub>, 72 ± 5 ppb O<sub>3</sub> for 7 h d<sup>-1</sup>, 9.00–16.00 h) and elevated CO<sub>2</sub>+O<sub>3</sub> (elevated CO<sub>2</sub>, 714 ± 16 ppm+elevated O<sub>3</sub>, 72 ± 5 ppb for 7 h d<sup>-1</sup>). Overall, elevated CO<sub>2</sub> significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) increased  $V_{cmax}$ ,  $J_{max}$ , and  $J_{max}/V_{cmax}$  in the young leaf. Exposure to O<sub>3</sub> did not alter *in vivo* biochemical parameters in the young leaf. Results are shown as means ± 1 standard error ( $n=6$ ).

combined gas treatment resulted in a greater reduction in RGR, RGR<sub>r</sub>, and  $K$  in the modern cultivar than in the old one relative to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (variety × CO<sub>2</sub> × O<sub>3</sub>,  $P < 0.05$ ; Table 5).


## Discussion

### *Visible symptoms of O<sub>3</sub> damage in the two cultivars of winter wheat as affected by elevated CO<sub>2</sub>*

Scoring of visible symptoms in two wheat cultivars exposed to four treatment combinations of O<sub>3</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> for 21 d revealed that only elevated O<sub>3</sub> showed a differential degree of visible symptoms, varying with leaf age and wheat cultivar. The

extent of visible symptoms increased with leaf age, regardless of cultivar. Visible symptoms varied from 62 to 84% and from 34 to 59% in leaf 2 and leaf 3, respectively. This suggested that O<sub>3</sub>-induced oxidative stress was higher in old and mature leaves, despite their lower O<sub>3</sub> uptake compared with recently developed leaves at the upper canopy (Noormets *et al.*, 2010). Significant varietal difference was noted in the visible symptoms of O<sub>3</sub> damage that developed on leaf 2 and leaf 3. The modern cultivar showed a higher level of visible symptoms than the old cultivar, irrespective of leaf levels. No visible symptom was found on leaves of the two winter wheat cultivars exposed to elevated CO<sub>2</sub>, elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub>, and CFA, as found in an O<sub>3</sub>-sensitive spring wheat cultivar exposed to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and/or O<sub>3</sub> (Cardoso-Vilhena *et al.*, 2004).





**Fig. 2.** Assimilation rate ( $A$ ), stomatal conductance ( $g_s$ ), and intrinsic water-use efficiency ( $WUE_{int}$ ) at instantaneous level in the young leaf (leaf 4) of an old (released in 1961) and a modern (released in 1997) winter wheat cultivar under varying levels of PPFD after they were exposed to elevated  $CO_2$  and/or  $O_3$  for 21 d in OTCs. Leaf chamber  $CO_2$  concentration was maintained at 700 ppm. Control ( $CO_2$ ,  $385 \pm 4$  ppm+CFA,  $4 \pm 0.02$  ppb  $O_3$ ; open circles); elevated  $CO_2$  ( $CO_2$ ,  $714 \pm 16$  ppm+CFA,  $4 \pm 0.02$  ppb  $O_3$ ; filled circles);  $O_3$  (ambient  $CO_2$ ,  $385 \pm 4$  ppm+elevated  $O_3$ ,  $72 \pm 5$  ppb  $O_3$  for 7 h  $d^{-1}$ , 9.00–16.00h; open triangles); and elevated  $CO_2+O_3$  (elevated  $CO_2$ ,  $714 \pm 16$  ppm+elevated  $O_3$ ,  $72 \pm 5$  ppb for 7 h  $d^{-1}$ ; filled triangles). Results are shown as means  $\pm 1$  standard error ( $n=6$ ).

#### Photosynthetic and growth responses of an old and modern winter wheat cultivar to elevated $CO_2$

Elevated  $CO_2$  is expected to increase the productivity of  $C_3$  plants and enhance water-use efficiency at the leaf level through a simultaneous increase in photosynthesis and a decline in stomatal conductance (Cure and Acock, 1986; Eamus, 1991; Drake *et al.*, 1997). We found differential photosynthetic responses of the mature (leaf 3) and recently developed young (leaf 4) leaves of wheat cultivars to elevated  $CO_2$ . Overall, elevated  $CO_2$  significantly increased  $F_v/F_m$  in both mature and young leaves with a larger increase in  $F_v/F_m$  in the former than in the latter. Elevated  $CO_2$  also produced a larger increase in  $A_{sat}$  in the mature leaf (41%) than in the young leaf (10%). Exposure to elevated  $CO_2$  decreased  $WUE_{int}$  in the young leaf due to a higher relative increase in  $g_s$  (26%) at the  $CO_2$  concentration of 400

ppm in the leaf chamber. However, elevated  $CO_2$  increased both  $g_s$  and  $WUE_{int}$  in the young leaf at the  $CO_2$  concentration of 700 ppm in the leaf chamber. This indicated that elevated  $CO_2$  increased  $WUE_{int}$  in the young leaf without high  $C_i$ -induced partial stomatal closure. A significant increase in  $g_s$  in wheat cultivars at elevated  $CO_2$ , as found in this study, is consistent with previous reports (Norby and O'Neil, 1991; Pettersson and McDonald, 1992; Wang *et al.*, 2000). Elevated  $CO_2$  significantly increased  $\Phi_{PSII}$ , ETR, and  $q_P$  in the young leaf but not in the mature leaf when chlorophyll  $a$  fluorescence was recorded simultaneously with gas exchange. The results are consistent with the report of Rascher *et al.* (2010), which demonstrated an increase in ETR in soybean at elevated  $CO_2$ . Overall, elevated  $CO_2$  significantly decreased NPQ in the mature leaf but did not alter NPQ in the young leaf. We found that a 10% increase



**Table 5.** Relative growth rate of whole plant (RGR), relative growth rate of shoot (RGR<sub>s</sub>), relative growth rate of root (RGR<sub>r</sub>), allometric coefficient ( $K = \text{RGR}_r / \text{RGR}_s$ ), specific leaf area (SLA), and net assimilation rate (NAR) of an old (released in 1961) and a modern (released in 1997) winter wheat cultivar exposed to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and/or O<sub>3</sub> for 21 d in OTCs. Control (CO<sub>2</sub>, 385 ± 4 ppm + CFA, 4 ± 0.02 ppb O<sub>3</sub>); elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (CO<sub>2</sub>, 714 ± 16 ppm + CFA, 4 ± 0.02 ppb O<sub>3</sub>); O<sub>3</sub> (ambient CO<sub>2</sub>, 385 ± 4 ppm + elevated O<sub>3</sub>, 72 ± 5 ppb O<sub>3</sub> for 7 h d<sup>-1</sup>, 9.00–16.00 h); and elevated CO<sub>2</sub>+O<sub>3</sub> (elevated CO<sub>2</sub>, 714 ± 16 ppm + elevated O<sub>3</sub>, 72 ± 5 ppb 7 h d<sup>-1</sup>). Overall, elevated CO<sub>2</sub> significantly ( $P < 0.001$ ) increased RGR, RGR<sub>s</sub>, and RGR<sub>r</sub> but did not alter  $K$ . Exposure to O<sub>3</sub> significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) decreased RGR, RGR<sub>s</sub>, RGR<sub>r</sub>, and  $K$  in wheat cultivars. Results are shown as means ± 1 standard error ( $n = 10$ ). Means with the same letter were not significantly different.

Treatment	RGR (g g <sup>-1</sup> d <sup>-1</sup> )	RGR <sub>s</sub> (g g <sup>-1</sup> d <sup>-1</sup> )	RGR <sub>r</sub> (g g <sup>-1</sup> d <sup>-1</sup> )	$K$	SLA (cm <sup>2</sup> g <sup>-1</sup> )	NAR (g m <sup>-2</sup> d <sup>-1</sup> )
(a) Beijing 6 (1961)						
Control	0.065 ± 0.003 <sup>c</sup>	0.068 ± 0.003 <sup>c</sup>	0.056 ± 0.003 <sup>d</sup>	0.83 ± 0.05 <sup>a</sup>	603 ± 34 <sup>ab</sup>	2.34 ± 0.13
CO <sub>2</sub>	0.067 ± 0.002 <sup>c</sup>	0.070 ± 0.003 <sup>c</sup>	0.059 ± 0.003 <sup>d</sup>	0.84 ± 0.05 <sup>a</sup>	548 ± 32 <sup>ab</sup>	2.54 ± 0.13
O <sub>3</sub>	0.062 ± 0.002 <sup>c</sup>	0.066 ± 0.003 <sup>c</sup>	0.050 ± 0.003 <sup>e</sup>	0.76 ± 0.04 <sup>b</sup>	658 ± 30 <sup>a</sup>	2.17 ± 0.12
CO <sub>2</sub> +O <sub>3</sub>	0.066 ± 0.002 <sup>c</sup>	0.069 ± 0.003 <sup>c</sup>	0.056 ± 0.003 <sup>d</sup>	0.82 ± 0.04 <sup>a</sup>	571 ± 30 <sup>ab</sup>	2.37 ± 0.12
(b) Zhongmai 9 (1997)						
Control	0.075 ± 0.002 <sup>b</sup>	0.077 ± 0.003 <sup>b</sup>	0.064 ± 0.003 <sup>b</sup>	0.84 ± 0.04 <sup>a</sup>	592 ± 30 <sup>ab</sup>	2.43 ± 0.12
CO <sub>2</sub>	0.081 ± 0.002 <sup>a</sup>	0.084 ± 0.003 <sup>a</sup>	0.071 ± 0.003 <sup>a</sup>	0.84 ± 0.05 <sup>a</sup>	527 ± 32 <sup>ab</sup>	2.76 ± 0.13
O <sub>3</sub>	0.054 ± 0.002 <sup>d</sup>	0.058 ± 0.003 <sup>d</sup>	0.040 ± 0.003 <sup>c</sup>	0.71 ± 0.04 <sup>c</sup>	655 ± 30 <sup>a</sup>	1.98 ± 0.12
CO <sub>2</sub> +O <sub>3</sub>	0.076 ± 0.002 <sup>b</sup>	0.083 ± 0.003 <sup>a</sup>	0.065 ± 0.003 <sup>b</sup>	0.78 ± 0.04 <sup>b</sup>	492 ± 30 <sup>b</sup>	2.48 ± 0.12

in  $A_{\text{sat}}$  in the young leaf was attributed to an increase in  $V_{\text{cmax}}$  and  $J_{\text{max}}$  by 27 and 29%, respectively, under elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. These results indicated that mature and young leaves show differential strategies in energy acquisition and carbon assimilation. Our findings of higher levels of  $V_{\text{cmax}}$  and  $J_{\text{max}}$  in winter wheat under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> are consistent with the fact that the short-term response can be attributed largely to stimulation of Rubisco at the vegetative stage of plants when sink strength is less limited (Sharkey, 1988; Long, 1991). However, the stimulation of photosynthesis by elevated CO<sub>2</sub> was reflected on growth, as elevated CO<sub>2</sub> significantly increased RGR, RGR<sub>s</sub>, RGR<sub>r</sub>, and NAR in the wheat cultivars. The results are consistent with the findings of Cardoso-Vilhena *et al.* (2004), which demonstrate an increased relative growth rate in a spring wheat cultivar under elevated CO<sub>2</sub>.

The modern cultivar demonstrated higher levels of ETR,  $q_P$ , and  $\Phi_{\text{PSII}}$  in the mature leaf but showed higher  $q_P$  in the young leaf than the old one at high CO<sub>2</sub>. This suggested that the modern cultivar had a higher level of energy capture and electron transport rate compared with the old one at elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. In addition, the modern wheat also displayed higher electron-use efficiency for RuBP regeneration, as documented by a lower value of  $J_{\text{max}}/V_{\text{cmax}}$  compared with the old one at elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (variety × CO<sub>2</sub>,  $P < 0.05$ ). The intrinsic limitation of photosynthesis under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> shifts from CO<sub>2</sub> fixation in carboxylation towards energy capture by the photochemical components of photosynthesis (Long and Drake, 1992). It is therefore believed that an investment of relatively more resources into the components of light harvesting and electron transport at the expense of reduced carboxylation capacity is beneficial to a plant under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (Long and Drake, 1992; Medlyn, 1996). In agreement with the above-mentioned idea, we found that the modern cultivar showed higher relative increases in RGR, RGR<sub>s</sub>, and RGR<sub>r</sub> than old one at elevated CO<sub>2</sub>.

#### Photosynthetic and growth responses of an old and a modern wheat cultivar to elevated O<sub>3</sub>

Exposure to O<sub>3</sub> significantly reduced the maximum photochemical efficiency of PSII ( $F_v/F_m$ ) in wheat cultivars, but a higher reduction in  $F_v/F_m$  was noted in the mature leaf (leaf 3) than in the young leaf (leaf 4). The two leaves also showed different mechanisms of photoinhibition. An O<sub>3</sub>-induced decrease in  $F_m$  in the mature leaf indicated the occurrence of damage to PSII reaction centres, whilst an O<sub>3</sub>-induced increase in both  $F_0$  and  $F_m$  in the young leaf suggested the occurrence of photoinhibition due to an increase in non-radiative thermal deactivation (Butler, 1978). O<sub>3</sub>-induced damage to PSII in the mature leaf resulted in a significant reduction in  $A_{\text{sat}}$  accompanied by a greater increase in  $C_i$ . In contrast, O<sub>3</sub>-induced non-radiative thermal deactivation of PSII in the young leaf resulted in a non-significant reduction in  $A_{\text{sat}}$  with a significant decrease in  $g_s$ . As a result, O<sub>3</sub> increased WUE<sub>int</sub> in the young leaf but not in the mature leaf. Analysis of the quenching components of chlorophyll *a* fluorescence recorded simultaneously with gas exchange indicated that O<sub>3</sub> significantly increased NPQ in the mature leaf but not in the young leaf. These results also suggested that O<sub>3</sub>-induced loss of  $A_{\text{sat}}$  in the mature leaf might be due to both stomatal and non-stomatal limitations, as evidenced by the O<sub>3</sub>-induced reduction in  $g_s$  and increase in  $C_i$  (Farage *et al.*, 1991; Farage and Long, 1995; Biswas *et al.*, 2008a; Biswas and Jiang, 2011). Greater negative effects of O<sub>3</sub> on the mature leaf of winter wheat cultivars, as found in this study, are consistent with observations made previously on a cultivar of spring wheat (Cardoso-Vilhena *et al.*, 2004). Loss of Rubisco triggered by exposure to O<sub>3</sub> is considered to constitute the primary cause of the O<sub>3</sub>-induced decline in CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation (Farage *et al.*, 1991; Farage and Long, 1995). It has also been documented that the maximal effect of O<sub>3</sub> on Rubisco coincided with the period when Rubisco concentration reached its peak (Dann

and Pell, 1989; Pell *et al.*, 1992). We found that O<sub>3</sub> had no effect on  $V_{\text{cmax}}$ ,  $J_{\text{max}}$ , and  $J_{\text{max}}/V_{\text{cmax}}$  in the young leaf. This might be the cause underlying the non-significant reduction in  $A_{\text{sat}}$  in the young leaf of wheat cultivars at elevated O<sub>3</sub>, as found in the newly expanded leaf of soybean plants exposed to O<sub>3</sub> (Bernacchi *et al.*, 2009). Nevertheless, the O<sub>3</sub>-induced negative effect on photosynthesis resulted in a marked reduction in RGR, RGR<sub>s</sub>, RGR<sub>r</sub>, and  $K$  in wheat cultivars. Root growth was more negatively affected by O<sub>3</sub> than shoot growth, regardless of cultivar, as reported elsewhere (Davison and Barnes, 1998; Biswas *et al.*, 2008a, b).

The modern cultivar demonstrated a higher loss of PSII efficiency in the mature leaf than the old cultivar at elevated O<sub>3</sub> (variety × O<sub>3</sub>,  $P < 0.01$ ). This suggested that the old cultivar was relatively less sensitive to O<sub>3</sub> compared with the modern one, as has been found elsewhere (Barnes *et al.*, 1990, 2008b). In a previous study, the extent of O<sub>3</sub> sensitivity of a large number of modern winter wheat cultivars in terms of growth and antioxidative activities was positively associated with O<sub>3</sub> uptake and loss of mesophyll cell activity (Biswas *et al.*, 2008a). We found that the modern cultivar showed a greater loss of mesophyll cell activity, as documented by higher  $C_i$  in the young leaf than the old wheat cultivar at high O<sub>3</sub> (variety × O<sub>3</sub>,  $P < 0.01$ ). This can be explained by higher O<sub>3</sub> uptake, as evidenced by higher  $g_s$  in both leaves of modern cultivar compared with the old cultivar at high O<sub>3</sub> (Biswas *et al.*, 2008a, b). Consequently, the old cultivar demonstrated higher WUE<sub>int</sub> in the young leaf than the modern one at high O<sub>3</sub>. However, higher O<sub>3</sub>-induced physiological impairment resulted in greater reductions in RGR, RGR<sub>s</sub>, and RGR<sub>r</sub> in the modern cultivar compared with the old one. These results are consistent with our earlier reports that demonstrate higher O<sub>3</sub> sensitivity of the newly released winter wheat cultivars compared with older ones in terms of growth and grain yield (Biswas *et al.*, 2008a, b; Biswas and Jiang, 2011).

#### *Differential responses of winter wheat cultivars to the combination of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub>*

The deleterious aspects of atmospheric O<sub>3</sub> on crop systems may partly be offset by the beneficial effects of increased atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration on crop plants (Ainsworth *et al.*, 2008a). In our study, elevated CO<sub>2</sub> fully protected both old and modern cultivars against the negative effects of O<sub>3</sub> under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub>. However, the beneficial effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on plants varied significantly between the two cultivars under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub>. We found that the combined gas treatment resulted in higher O<sub>3</sub>-induced photoinhibition due to non-radiative thermal deactivation of PSII, as evidenced by greater increases in  $F_0$  and  $F_m$  in the mature leaf of the modern cultivar than that of the old one relative to elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. High O<sub>3</sub>-induced photoinhibition in the modern cultivar was associated with higher O<sub>3</sub> uptake, as documented by higher  $g_s$  compared with the old cultivar at elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub>. Consequently, the combined gas treatment showed larger decreases in  $\Phi_{\text{PSII}}$  and  $q_P$  in the mature leaf of the modern cultivar than in that of the old one compared with elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. In addition, the modern wheat displayed a greater

increase in NPQ in the young leaf than the old one under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> relative to elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. Higher levels of photoinhibition and NPQ in the modern cultivar compared with the old cultivar at elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> might be due to a greater reduction in total antioxidant capacity in the modern cultivar at elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (Gillespie *et al.*, 2011). Our results also indicated that the old cultivar had a higher WUE<sub>int</sub> in the young leaf than the modern one under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub>. Although the modern cultivar displayed a higher energy capture and electron transport rate compared with the old one at elevated CO<sub>2</sub>, the positive effect of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on plants was largely diminished in the modern cultivar under combined elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> exposure. For instance, the modern cultivar showed greater reductions in RGR, RGR<sub>s</sub>, RGR<sub>r</sub>, and  $K$  than old one in combined elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> exposure relative to elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. These results are in agreement with the notion that the beneficial effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on plants may be compromised by nutrient limitation and other environmental stresses (Ainsworth *et al.*, 2008b). Our results also suggested that the beneficial effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on the old cultivar were sustained due to lower O<sub>3</sub> uptake and lower O<sub>3</sub>-induced photoinhibition under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub>. In addition, a greater O<sub>3</sub>-induced loss of the positive effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on the modern cultivar suggests that elevated CO<sub>2</sub>-induced growth stimulation in the recently released wheat cultivar attributed to higher energy capture and electron transport rate could be compromised by its higher O<sub>3</sub> uptake and greater O<sub>3</sub>-induced photoinhibition under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> conditions.

In conclusion, elevated CO<sub>2</sub> resulted in higher growth stimulation in the modern cultivar attributed to a higher energy capture and electron transport rate compared with the old cultivar. In contrast, O<sub>3</sub> induced a greater reduction in growth due to higher O<sub>3</sub> uptake and greater loss of PSII efficiency (in the mature leaf) and mesophyll cell activity (in the young leaf) in the modern cultivar than in the old one. Exposure to O<sub>3</sub> resulted in greater photoinhibition in the mature leaf compared with the young leaf. The mature and young leaves showed photoinhibition due to the occurrence of damage to PSII reaction centres and an increase in non-radiative thermal deactivation, respectively. Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> fully protected both cultivars against the deleterious effects of O<sub>3</sub> under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub>. The modern cultivar showed a greater relative loss of elevated CO<sub>2</sub>-induced growth stimulation attributed to higher O<sub>3</sub> uptake and O<sub>3</sub>-induced photoinhibition than the old one under combined elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> exposure. These results suggest that cultivar selection with improved responsiveness to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> as well as tolerance to O<sub>3</sub> can maximize agricultural production under the anticipated elevation of CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> levels in the future.

## Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank Handling Editor, Dr. Elizabeth Ainsworth and two anonymous reviewers for their valuable suggestions on an earlier version of the manuscript. D.K.B thanks Protima Biswas for her continuous inspiration and

acknowledges funding of the Chinese Academy of Sciences and China Scholarship Council. This is a joint contribution between Institute of Botany of the Chinese Academy of Sciences and Agriculture Agri-Food Canada (AAFC). AAFC-ECORC contribution No. 12-358. This study was co-funded by the Shandong Province Taishan Scholarship (no. 00523902), the Innovative Group Grant of Natural Science Foundation of China (no. 30521002), the State Science and Technology Supporting Project Eco-agriculture Technological Engineering (2012BAD14B07-8), and National Natural Science Foundation of China (no. 30900200).

## References

- Ainsworth EA, Beier C, Calfapietra C, et al.** 2008b. Next generation of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> experiments with crops: a critical investment for feeding the future world. *Plant Cell and Environment* **31**, 1317–1324.
- Ainsworth EA, Rogers A, Leahey ADB.** 2008a. Targets for crop biotechnology in a future high-CO<sub>2</sub> and high-O<sub>3</sub> world. *Plant Physiology* **147**, 13–19.
- Balaguer L, Barnes JD, Panicucci A, Borland AM.** 1995. Production and utilization of assimilate in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) leaves exposed to O<sub>3</sub> and/or CO<sub>2</sub>. *New Phytologist* **129**, 557–568.
- Barnes JD, Velissariou D, Devison AW, Holevas CD.** 1990. Comparative ozone sensitivity of old and modern Greek cultivars of spring wheat. *New Phytologist* **116**, 707–714.
- Bernacchi CJ, Leahey ADB, Hady LE, et al.** 2009. Hourly and seasonal variation in photosynthesis and stomatal conductance of soybean grown at future CO<sub>2</sub> and ozone concentrations for 3 years under fully open-air field conditions. *Plant Cell and Environment* **29**, 2077–2090.
- Bilger W, Bjorkman O.** 1990. Role of the xanthophyll cycle in photoprotection elucidated by measurements of light-induced absorbance changes, fluorescence and photosynthesis in leaves of *Hedera canariensis*. *Photosynthesis Research* **25**, 173–186.
- Biswas DK, Jiang GM.** 2011. Differential drought-induced modulation of ozone tolerance in winter wheat species. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **62**, 4153–4162.
- Biswas DK, Xu H, Li YG, Liu MZ, Chen YH, Sun JZ, Jiang GM.** 2008b. Assessing the genetic relatedness of higher ozone sensitivity of modern wheat to its wild and cultivated progenitors/relatives. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **59**, 951–963.
- Biswas DK, Xu H, Li YG, Sun JZ, Wang XZ, Han XG, Jiang GM.** 2008a. Genotypic differences in leaf biochemical, physiological and growth responses to ozone in 20 winter wheat cultivars released over the past 60 years. *Global Change Biology* **14**, 46–59.
- Biswas DK, Xu H, Yang JC, et al.** 2009. Impacts of methods and sites of plant breeding on ozone sensitivity in winter wheat cultivars. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment* **134**, 168–177.
- Bowes G.** 1993. Facing the inevitable-plants and increasing CO<sub>2</sub>. *Annual Review of Plant Physiology* **44**, 309–332.
- Butler WL.** 1978. Energy distribution in the photochemical apparatus of photosynthesis. *Annual Review of Plant Physiology* **29**, 345–378.
- Cardoso-Vilhena J, Balaguer L, Eamus D, Ollerenshaw JH, Barnes J.** 2004. Mechanisms underlying the amelioration of O<sub>3</sub>-induced damage by elevated atmospheric concentrations of CO<sub>2</sub>. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **55**, 771–781.
- Cure JD, Acock B.** 1986. Crop responses to carbon dioxide doubling. A literature survey. *Agriculture and Forest Meteorology* **38**, 127–145.
- Dann MS, Pell EJ.** 1989. Decline of activity and quantity of ribulose biphosphate carboxylase oxygenase and net photosynthesis in ozone-treated potato foliage. *Plant Physiology* **91**, 427–432.
- Davison AW, Barnes JD.** 1998. Effects of ozone on wild plants. *New Phytologist* **139**, 135–151.
- Drake BG, Gonzalez-Meler MA, Long SP.** 1997. More efficient plants: a consequence of rising atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>. *Annual Review of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology* **48**, 609–639.
- Eamus D.** 1991. The interaction of rising CO<sub>2</sub> levels and temperature with water-use efficiency. *Plant, Cell and Environment* **14**, 25–40.
- Farage PK, Long SP, Lechner EG, Baker NR.** 1991. The sequence of change within the photosynthetic apparatus of wheat following short-term exposure to ozone. *Plant Physiology* **95**, 529–535.
- Farage PK, Long SP.** 1995. An *in vivo* analysis of photosynthesis during short-term ozone exposure in three contrasting species. *Photosynthesis Research* **43**, 11–18.
- Farage PK, Long SP.** 1999. The effects of O<sub>3</sub> fumigation during leaf development on photosynthesis of wheat and pea: an *in vivo* analysis. *Photosynthesis Research* **59**, 1–7.
- Farquhar GD, Caemmerer von S, Berry JA.** 1980. A biochemical model of photosynthetic CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation in leaves of C<sub>3</sub> species. *Planta* **149**, 78–90.
- Gillespie KM, Rogers A, Ainsworth EA.** 2011. Growth at elevated ozone or elevated carbon dioxide concentration alters antioxidant capacity and response to acute oxidative stress in soybean (*Glycine max*). *Journal of Experimental Botany* **62**, 2667–2678.
- Guehl JM, Fort C, Ferhi A.** 1995. Differential response of leaf conductance, carbon isotope discrimination and water use efficiency to nitrogen deficiency in maritime pine and pedunculate oak plants. *New Phytologist* **131**, 149–157.
- Hunt R.** 1990. *Basic growth analysis*. London: Unwin Hyman.
- IPCC.** 2007. Climate change 2007: the physical science basis. In: Solomon S, Qin D, Manning M, Chen Z, Marquis M, Averyt KB, Tignor M, Miller HL, eds. *Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Annual Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 996.
- Jiang GM, Sun JZ, Liu HQ, et al.** 2003. Changes in the rate of photosynthesis accompanying the yield increase in wheat cultivars released in the past 50 years. *Journal of Plant Research* **116**, 347–354.
- Kimball BA, Pinter PJ, Garcia RL, LaMorte RL, Wall GW, Hunsaker DJ, Wechsung F, Kartschall T.** 1995. Productivity and water use of wheat under free-air CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment. *Global Change Biology* **1**, 429–442.
- Kimball BA.** 1983. Carbon dioxide and agricultural yield: an assemblage and analysis of 770 prior observations. *Agronomy Journal* **75**, 779–788.



- Krause GH, Weis E.** 1991. Chlorophyll fluorescence and photosynthesis: the basics. *Annual Review of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology* **42**, 313–349.
- Long SP.** 1991. Modification of the response of photosynthetic productivity to rising temperature by atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations: has its importance been underestimated? *Plant, Cell and Environment* **14**, 729–739.
- Long SP, Ainsworth EA, Leakey ADB, Nosberger J, Ort DR.** 2006. Food for thought: lower than expected crop yield stimulation with rising CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations. *Science* **312**, 1918–1921.
- Long SP, Drake BG.** 1992. Photosynthetic CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation and rising atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations. In: Baker NR, Thomas H, eds. *Crop photosynthesis: spatial and temporal determinants*. Amsterdam: Elsevier, 669–704.
- Long SP, Naidu SL.** 2002. Effects of oxidants at the biochemical, cell and physiological levels. In: Treshow M, ed. *Air pollution and plants*. London: John Wiley, 68–88.
- Manderscheid R, Weigel HJ.** 1997. Photosynthetic and growth response of old and modern spring wheat cultivars to atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment* **64**, 65–73.
- McKee IF, Farage PK, Long SP.** 1995. The interactive effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> concentrations on photosynthesis in spring wheat. *Photosynthesis Research* **45**, 111–119.
- McKee IF, Mulholland BJ, Craigon J, Black CR, Long SP.** 2000. Elevated concentrations of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> protect against and compensate for O<sub>3</sub> damage to photosynthetic tissues of field-grown wheat. *New Phytologist* **146**, 427–435.
- McKee IF, Woodward FI.** 1994. The effect of growth at elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations on photosynthesis in wheat. *Plant, Cell and Environment* **17**, 853–859.
- Medlyn, BE.** 1996. The optimal allocation of nitrogen within the C<sub>3</sub> photosynthetic system at elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. *Australian Journal of Plant Physiology* **23**, 593–603.
- Meyer U, Kollner B, Willenbrink J, Krause GHM.** 1997. Physiological changes on agricultural crops induced by different ambient ozone exposure regimes I. Effects on photosynthesis and assimilate allocation in spring wheat. *New Phytologist* **136**, 645–652.
- Nie GY, Tomasevic M, Baker NR.** 1993. Effects of ozone on the photosynthetic apparatus and leaf proteins during leaf development in wheat. *Plant, Cell and Environment* **16**, 643–651.
- Noormets A, Kull O, Sober A, Kubiske ME, Karnosky DF.** 2010. Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> response of photosynthesis depends on ozone concentration in aspen. *Environmental Pollution* **158**, 992–999.
- Norby RJ, O'Neil EG.** 1991. Leaf area compensation and nutrient interactions in CO<sub>2</sub>-enriched seedlings of yellow-poplar (*Liriodendron tulipifera* L.). *New Phytologist* **117**, 515–528.
- Osborne CP, LaRoche J, Garcia RL, Kimball BA, Wall GW, Pnter PJ, Lamorte RL, Hendrey GR, Long SP.** 1998. Does leaf position within a canopy affect acclimation of photosynthesis to elevated CO<sub>2</sub>? *Plant Physiology* **117**, 1037–1045.
- Pell EJ, Eckardt N, Enyedi AJ.** 1992. Timing of ozone stress and resulting status of ribulose biphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase and associated net photosynthesis. *New Phytologist* **120**, 397–405.
- Pettersson R, McDonald AJS.** 1992. Effects of elevated carbon dioxide concentration on photosynthesis and growth of small birch plants (*Betula pendula* Roth.) at optimal nutrition. *Plant, Cell and Environment* **15**, 911–919.
- Polle A, Pell EJ.** 1999. Role of carbon dioxide in modifying the plant response to ozone. In: Luo Y, Mooney HA, eds. *Carbon dioxide and environmental stress*. San Diego: Academic Press, 193–213.
- Poorter H.** 1993. Interspecific variation in the growth response of plants to elevated ambient CO<sub>2</sub> concentration. *Vegetatio* **104**, 77–97.
- Prather M, Ehhalt D, Dentener F, et al.** 2001. Atmospheric chemistry and greenhouse gases. In: Houghton JT, Ding Y, Griggs DJ, Noguer M, van der Linden PJ, Dai X, Maskell K, Johnson CA, eds. *Climate change 2001: the scientific basis*. Contribution of Working Group I to the Third Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 239–287.
- Rascher U, Biskup B, Leakey ADB, McGrath JM, Ainsworth EA.** 2010. Altered physiological function, not structure, drives increased radiation-use efficiency of soybean grown at elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. *Photosynthesis Research* **105**, 15–25.
- Sage RF.** 1994. Acclimation of photosynthesis to increasing atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>: the gas exchange perspective. *Photosynthesis Research* **39**, 351–368.
- Sharkey TD.** 1988. Estimating the rate of photorespiration in leaves. *Physiological Plantarum* **73**, 147–152.
- Sicher Jr, RC, Bunce JA, Matthews BF.** 2010. Differing responses to carbon dioxide enrichment by a dwarf and a normal-sized soybean cultivar may depend on sink capacity. *Canadian Journal of Plant Science* **90**, 257–264.
- Stitt M.** 1991. Rising CO<sub>2</sub> levels and their potential significance for carbon flow in photosynthetic cells. *Plant, Cell and Environment* **14**, 741–762.
- Tausz M, Grulke NE, Wieser G.** 2007. Defense and avoidance of ozone under global change. *Environmental Pollution* **147**, 525–531.
- Tuba Z, Szenté K, Koch J.** 1994. Response of photosynthesis, stomatal conductance, water use efficiency and production to long-term elevated CO<sub>2</sub> in winter wheat. *Journal of Plant Physiology* **144**, 661–668.
- Wang XZ, Curtis PS, Prigitzer KS, Zak DR.** 2000. Genotypic variation in physiological and growth responses of *Populus tremuloides* to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentration. *Tree Physiology* **20**, 1019–1028.